This case was originally scheduled for court trial on December 11, 2007. On December 4, 2007, defendant's former counsel filed an emergency motion to vacate the trial date, due to a conflict between the defendant and her attorneys (Doc. 79). The court granted defendant's motion on

27

28

Case 1:05-cv-00321-OWW-DLB Document 92 Filed 06/23/08 Page 2 of 7

December 6, 2007, and on January 10, 2008, the court issued an order substituting attorneys for defendant. (Docs. 80, 83). On February 5, 2008, the parties were ordered to file scheduling report(s) no later than May 9, 2008. Once received, the court indicated that it would issue a new scheduling order based on the report(s) filed (Doc. 85).

On April 14, 2008, defendant filed a motion for leave to file a dispositive motion, and also a joint status report. (Docs. 87, 88). Plaintiff filed his opposition on May 5, 2008, and defendant filed her reply on May 12, 2008 (Docs. 89, 91).

B. Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Dispositive Motion

On April 14, 2008, defendant filed for leave to file a dispositive motion. (Doc. 87). Defendant contends that there exist numerous grounds upon which to dismiss this action: 1) that plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies; 2) that plaintiff's request for injunctive relief is now moot; and that defendant is entitled to Eleventh Amendment immunity regarding plaintiff's request for damages; and 3) that plaintiff fails to establish a constitutional violation. Defendant asserts that this case can be readily disposed of on the papers and that the Court and taxpayers should not be required to bear the burden and costs involved with a trial. In opposition, plaintiff argues that this matter is ripe for trial, that defendant's request is untimely, and that the grounds raised by defendant is support of her motion are frivolous.

Modification of the pretrial scheduling order requires a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). "The schedule may be modified 'if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension." Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 607 (9th Cir. 1992). "If the party seeking the modification 'was not diligent, the inquiry should end' and the motion to modify should not be granted." <u>Id</u>.

In the scheduling order issued December 30, 2005, the court set February 24, 2006 as the deadline for filing motions to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies, and October 26, 2006 as the deadline for filing dispositive motions. (Doc. 19). The court is aware that defendant recently retained new counsel. However, defendant has not shown good cause why she did not timely file an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion. Further, defendant states that the regulation

challenged by plaintiff in his complaint was changed in 2005, rendering plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief moot, and that defendant is entitled to Eleventh Amendment immunity regarding plaintiff's claim for damages. If the regulation in question was in fact changed in 2005, defendant again fails to show good cause why she did not move for summary judgment earlier.

No good cause appearing, defendant's request for leave to file a dispositive motion is denied. Pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court will, by this order, set a further schedule for this litigation.

C. Scheduling Order

The parties are required to file pre-trial statements in accordance with the schedule set forth herein. In addition to the matters already required to be addressed in the pre-trial statement in accordance with Local Rule 16-281, plaintiff will be required to make a particularized showing in order to obtain the attendance of witnesses. The procedures and requirements for making such a showing are outlined in detail below. Plaintiff is advised that failure to comply with the procedures set forth below may result in the preclusion of any and all witnesses named in his pre-trial statement.

At the trial of this case, plaintiff must be prepared to introduce evidence to prove each of the alleged facts that support the claims raised in the lawsuit. In general, there are two kinds of trial evidence: (1) exhibits and (2) the testimony of witnesses. It is plaintiff's responsibility to produce all of the evidence to prove his case, whether that evidence is in the form of exhibits or witness testimony. If plaintiff wants to call witnesses to testify, he must follow certain procedures to ensure that the witnesses will be at the trial and available to testify.

1. Procedures for Obtaining Attendance of Incarcerated Witnesses Who Agree to Testify Voluntarily - An incarcerated witness who agrees voluntarily to attend trial to give testimony cannot come to court unless this court orders the warden or other custodian to permit the witness to be transported to court. This court will not issue such an order unless it is satisfied that: (a) the prospective witness is willing to attend; and (b) the prospective witness has actual knowledge of relevant facts.

A party intending to introduce the testimony of incarcerated witnesses who have agreed voluntarily to attend the trial must serve and file <u>concurrent with the pre-trial statement</u> a written

Case 1:05-cv-00321-OWW-DLB Document 92 Filed 06/23/08 Page 4 of 7

motion for a court order requiring that such witnesses be brought to court at the time of trial. The motion must: (1) state the name, address, and prison identification number of each such witness; and (2) be accompanied by declarations showing that each witness is willing to testify and that each witness has actual knowledge of relevant facts. The motion should be entitled "Motion for Attendance of Incarcerated Witnesses."

The willingness of the prospective witness can be shown in one of two ways: (1) the party himself can swear by declaration under penalty of perjury that the prospective witness has informed the party that he or she is willing to testify voluntarily without being subpoenaed, in which declaration the party must state when and where the prospective witness informed the party of this willingness; or (2) the party can serve and file a declaration, signed under penalty of perjury by the prospective witness, in which the witness states that he or she is willing to testify without being subpoenaed.

The prospective witness's actual knowledge of relevant facts can be shown in one of two ways: (1) if the party has actual firsthand knowledge that the prospective witness was an eyewitness or an ear-witness to the relevant facts (i.e., if an incident occurred in plaintiff's cell and, at the time, plaintiff saw that a cellmate was present and observed the incident, plaintiff may swear to the cellmate's ability to testify), the party himself can swear by declaration under penalty of perjury that the prospective witness has actual knowledge; or (2) the party can serve and file a declaration signed under penalty of perjury by the prospective witness in which the witness describes the relevant facts to which the prospective witness was an eye- or ear-witness. Whether the declaration is made by the party or by the prospective witness, it must be specific about the incident, when and where it occurred, who was present, and how the prospective witness happened to be in a position to see or to hear what occurred at the time it occurred.

The court will review and rule on the motion for attendance of incarcerated witnesses, specifying which prospective witnesses must be brought to court. Subsequently, the court will issue the order necessary to cause the witness's custodian to bring the witness to court.

Motions for the attendance of incarcerated witnesses, if any, must be filed on or before August 29, 2008. Oppositions, if any, must be filed on or before September 19, 2008.

- 2. <u>Procedures for Obtaining Attendance of Incarcerated Witnesses Who Refuse to Testify Voluntarily</u> If a party seeks to obtain the attendance of incarcerated witnesses who refuse to testify voluntarily, the party should submit with his pre-trial statement a motion for the attendance of such witnesses. Such motion should be in the form described above. In addition, the party must indicate in the motion that the incarcerated witnesses are not willing to testify voluntarily.
- 3. <u>Procedures for Obtaining Attendance of Unincarcerated Witnesses Who Agree to Testify Voluntarily</u> It is the responsibility of the party who has secured an unincarcerated witness's voluntary attendance to notify the witness of the time and date of trial. No action need be sought or obtained from the court.
- 4. Procedures for Obtaining Attendance of Unincarcerated Witnesses Who Refuse to Testify Voluntarily If a prospective witness is not incarcerated, and he or she refuses to testify voluntarily, the witness must be served with a subpoena. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45. In addition, the party seeking the witness's presence must tender an appropriate sum of money for the witness. Id. In the case of an unincarcerated witness, the appropriate sum of money is the daily witness fee of \$40.00 plus the witness's travel expenses. 28 U.S.C. § 1821.

If plaintiff wishes to obtain the attendance of one or more unincarcerated witnesses who refuse to testify voluntarily, plaintiff must first notify the court in writing of the name and location of each unincarcerated witness. The court will calculate the travel expense for each unincarcerated witness and notify plaintiff of the amount(s). Plaintiff must then, for each witness, submit a money order made payable to the witness for the full amount of the witness's travel expenses plus the daily witness fee of \$40.00. The subpoena will not be served upon the unincarcerated witness by the United States Marshal unless the money order is tendered to the court. Because no statute authorizes the use of public funds for these expenses in civil cases, the tendering of witness fees and travel expenses is required even if the party was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

If plaintiff wishes to have the Marshal serve any unincarcerated witnesses who refuse to testify voluntarily, plaintiff must submit the money orders to the court no later than August 29, 2008. In order to ensure timely submission of the money orders, plaintiff should notify the court of the names and locations of his witnesses, in compliance with step one, as soon as possible.

Case 1:05-cv-00321-OWW-DLB Document 92 Filed 06/23/08 Page 6 of 7

The parties are advised that failure to file pre-trial statements as required by this order may result in the imposition of appropriate sanctions, which may include dismissal of the action or entry of default.

Finally, the court shall direct the Clerk's Office to provide the parties with consent/decline forms. Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, the parties shall inform the court whether they consent to or decline Magistrate Judge jurisdiction by filling out the forms and returning them to the court.

D. Conclusion and Order

Accordingly, the court HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a dispositive motion, filed April 14, 2008, is HEREBY DENIED;
- This matter is set for telephonic trial confirmation hearing before the Honorable Dennis L. Beck on **October 3, 2008, at 11:00 a.m.** in Courtroom 9;
- 3. This matter is set for bench trial before the Honorable Oliver W. Wanger on November 4, 2008, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 3;
- 4. Counsel for defendant is required to arrange for the participation of plaintiff in the telephonic trial confirmation hearing and to initiate the telephonic hearing at (559) 499-5670;
- 5. Plaintiff shall serve and file a pretrial statement as described in this order on or before **August 29, 2008**;
- 6. Defendant shall serve and file a pretrial statement as described in this order on or before **September 19, 2008**;
- 7. In addition to electronically filing her pretrial statement, defendant shall e-mail the pretrial statement to: dlborders@caed.uscourts.gov;
- If plaintiff intends to call incarcerated witnesses at time of trial, plaintiff shall serve
 and file a motion for attendance of incarcerated witnesses as described in this order
 on or before August 29, 2008;
- 9. The opposition to the motion for the attendance of incarcerated witnesses, if any,

	Case 1:05-cv-00321-OWW-DLB Document 92 Filed 06/23/08 Page 7 of 7	
1	shall be filed on or before September 19, 2008;	
2	If plaintiff wishes to obtain the attendance of unincarcerated witnesses who re	fuse
3	to testify voluntarily, plaintiff must submit the money orders, as describe	d in
4	subsection 4 of this order, to the court on or before August 29, 2008;	
5	11. The Clerk's Office shall send the parties consent/decline forms;	
6	12. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, the parties shall no	otify
7	the court whether they consent to or decline Magistrate Judge jurisdiction by fi	lling
8	out the enclosed forms and returning them to the court; and	
9	13. The Clerk's Office shall send plaintiff a copy of Local Rule 16-281.	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: June 20, 2008 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		